Phenotypic and molecular traits determine the tolerance of olive trees to drought stress
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1. Introduction

The domesticated olive tree has been historically a key species in the economical development of rural areas all along the Mediterranean basin, as it has been able to adapt and grow in very diverse environments, from dry to heavy rain areas (Palese et al., 2010). Olives are known for their capacity to adapt to drought through several phenotypic and molecular variations, although this can vary according to the different provenances of the same olive cultivar. We confronted the same olive cultivar from two different locations in Spain: Freila, in the Granada province, with low annual precipitation, and Grazalema, in the Cadiz province, with high annual precipitation, and subjected them to five weeks of severe drought stress. We found distinctive physiological and developmental adaptations among the two provenances. Thus, trees from Freila subjected to drought stress exhibited increasing root dry weights and decreasing leaf numbers and relative stem heights. On the other hand, the treatment with drought in Grazalema trees reduced their leaf chlorophyll contents, but increased their relative stem diameter and their root hydraulic conductivity. The physiological responses of Freila tree roots to drought were linked to different molecular adaptations that involved the regulation of genes related to transcription factors induced by ABA, auxin and ethylene signaling, as well as, the action of a predicted membrane intrinsic protein (MIP). On the other hand, the responses of Grazalema trees were related with different root genes related to oxidation-reduction, ATP synthesis, transduction and posttranslational regulation, with a special mention to the cytokinins signaling through the transcript predicted as a histidine-containing phosphotransfer protein. Our results show that olive trees adapted to dry environments will adjust their growth and water uptake capacity through transcription factors regulation, and this will influence the different physiological responses to drought stress.

Olive trees are known for their capacity to adapt to drought through several phenotypic and molecular variations, from dry to heavy rain areas (Palese et al., 2010). Olives are known for their capacity to adapt to drought through several phenotypic and molecular variations, although this can vary according to the different provenances of the same olive cultivar. We confronted the same olive cultivar from two different locations in Spain: Freila, in the Granada province, with low annual precipitation, and Grazalema, in the Cadiz province, with high annual precipitation, and subjected them to five weeks of severe drought stress. We found distinctive physiological and developmental adaptations among the two provenances. Thus, trees from Freila subjected to drought stress exhibited increasing root dry weights and decreasing leaf numbers and relative stem heights. On the other hand, the treatment with drought in Grazalema trees reduced their leaf chlorophyll contents, but increased their relative stem diameter and their root hydraulic conductivity. The physiological responses of Freila tree roots to drought were linked to different molecular adaptations that involved the regulation of genes related to transcription factors induced by ABA, auxin and ethylene signaling, as well as, the action of a predicted membrane intrinsic protein (MIP). On the other hand, the responses of Grazalema trees were related with different root genes related to oxidation-reduction, ATP synthesis, transduction and posttranslational regulation, with a special mention to the cytokinins signaling through the transcript predicted as a histidine-containing phosphotransfer protein. Our results show that olive trees adapted to dry environments will adjust their growth and water uptake capacity through transcription factors regulation, and this will influence the different physiological responses to drought stress.

Antioxidant and osmotic adjustments (Reddy et al., 2004), and altering hormonal contents (Peleg and Blumwald, 2011). The transfer of water from the soil to the xylem is mainly limited by the root radial water transport. Under drought conditions, when transpiration is minimized, the main contributions to water uptake will be attributed to the symplastic path, which will use water channel proteins called aquaporins at the cell membranes to transfer water. While the apoplastic path through cell walls, where the transpiration stream will act as the main driving force, will be reduced (Verdoucq and Maurel, 2018).

Physiological and morphological plant adaptations to drought are regulated by several stress perception and transduction pathways, which interconnect at various steps inducing or repressing different genes (Joshi et al., 2016). The use of transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics have allowed the identification of various drought stress...
responsive genes in crops, corresponding to genes coding for proteins that have either metabolic or regulatory roles (revised in Joshi et al., 2016). Among the different regulatory networks, which synchronize signal transduction and expression of genes during stress, a special attention has been paid to the regulatory class of genes that are grouped into transcription factors (AREB, DREB, MYB, WRKY, NAC, and bZIP), basic helix-loop-helix (MYC,MYB), signaling protein kinases (MAPK, CDPK, receptor protein kinases) and protein phosphatases (Wani et al., 2013). Transcription factors (TFs) have gained attention for their significant role in plant stress tolerance (Shao et al., 2015), as they act as molecular switches in the regulation of the expression of associated genes with subsequent consequences in plant development (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014; Joshi et al., 2016). In plants, up to 10% of the genes in the genome potentially encode TFs (Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2014), and represent one of the most critical steps in the signaling cascades toward physiological and morphological adaptation of plants (Shao et al., 2015). Different TFs are induced or repressed by the action of hormones. Under drought, abscisic acid (ABA) biosynthesis and accumulation within the plant tissues has been commonly observed (Zheng and Davies, 1987), and it has been pointed as the main responsible for inducing several stress-related genes (Yang et al., 2011). However, ABA likely does not act alone but in combination with other hormones as cytokinins, auxin and ethylene (revised in Rowe et al., 2016).

The outcome of the olive genome in 2016 (Cruz et al., 2016), with about1.38 Gb total length, opened new opportunities in the study of the different molecular traits and phenotypic variations within this species. The aim of this study is to identify distinctive response genes to drought stress in the olive tree Picual cultivar from two different locations Freila, a Mediterranean location with low-average annual precipitation (380 mm), and Grazalema, with high-average annual precipitation (2223 mm). Based on studies in other tree species where the origin of the same populus clone determined the transcriptional outcome upon drought exposure (Raj et al., 2011), we anticipate that the trees from Freila will have a high diverse intrinsic regulation of the plant gene expression under drought conditions, and that the olive trees from Grazalema will not be able to handle long-term exposure to drought stress, and they will have a contrasting gene expression regulation. Therefore, olive trees from both provenances were subjected to a severe drought stress and suppression-subtractive gene hybridization was carried out comparing both provenances in root tissues under drought conditions. Several morphological, growth and physiological parameters were determined at the same time.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Olive cuttings production and growth conditions

Olive cuttings (Olea europaea L. cv. Picual) were produced from mature olive trees growing at two locations of the south of Spain that are distinctively characterized for their pluviometry, a drought area mature olive trees growing at two locations of the south of Spain that were produced as described in Suárez et al. (1999). Briefly, 18 cm length and 4–6 cm diameter cuttings were treated with indolbutyric acid (IBA) 3500 ppm by immersing the cutting base for 10 s in a 1:1 (v:v) IBA hydro-alcoholic solution. The cuttings were immediately transferred to a mist propagation system for 90 d on a perlite substrate at 25 °C basal heating for rooting. Once the cuttings were rooted, they were transferred into 2L pots using a 2:1 (w:w) soil:quartz sand (< 1 mm) mixture. The soil was sieved (2 mm), sterilized by steaming (100 °C for 1 h on 3 consecutive days). The soil had a pH of 8.1 (water); 1.5% organic matter, nutrient concentrations (g kg⁻¹): total N, 1; total P, 1 (NaHCO₃-extractable P); total K, 11. The soil texture comprised 38.3% sand, 47.1% silt and 14.6% clay. Plants were then transferred to a greenhouse at 22/18 °C, 65% relative humidity and were irrigated with 50% modified Hoagland’s solution (Epstein and Bloom, 2005) once per week: 2.5 mM KNO₃, 0.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 2.5 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 23 μM H₃BO₃, 5 μM MnCl₂, 0.3 μM ZnSO₄, 0.2 μM CuSO₄, 0.01 μM (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄ and 90 μM EDTA-Fe.

Six months after planting, trees from each location were separated into two groups and subjected to drought stress (55% field capacity) for 5 weeks, while the other half were left as well-watered (95% field capacity). Soil moisture was controlled using a ML2 ThetaProbe (AT Delta-T Devices Ltd., Cambridge, UK) and the water content of the soil was maintained by weighing the pots every day and replacing the water lost to recover the desired level of soil water content (Calvo-Polanco et al., 2016).

2.2. Growth and physiological determinations

Leaf and root dry weights were determined at harvest time by detaching the shoots from the roots and after oven-dry for 72 h at 65 °C, in 10 trees (n = 10) per treatment combination. Relative height, diameter and number of leaves were determined from the measurements taken at the beginning and at the end of the drought treatment and expressed as percentage: [(final value-initial value)/initial value] × 100.

Stomatal conductance (gs) was measured at harvest time, 3 h after sunrise in fully developed mature leaves of ten plants (n = 10) per treatment combination, with a portable AP4Porometer (Delta-T Devices Ltd). Leaf relative water content (RWC) was determined in eight mature leaves per treatment combination (n = 8), after the gs measurements. Mature, fully developed leaves were excised from the main shoot, weighted (W₀) and introduced into 15 ml centrifuge tubes (BD Falcon, Fisher Scientific) with a piece of moist cotton for 24 h at 4 °C. Leaves were weighted again (Wₐ) and dried at 72 °C for 2 days (Wₐ). Leaf RWC was calculated as RWC = (W₀ - Wₐ)/(W₀ - Wₐ) x 100. Leaf chlorophyll contents were extracted in 100% methanol and concentration calculated using the coefficients and equations reported in Lichtenthaler (1987). Root hydraulic conductance (Kr) was measured after gs determinations using a high pressure flow meter (HPFM, Dynamax Inc., Houston) in eight roots per treatment combination (n = 8) (Calvo-Polanco et al., 2016). Detached roots were connected to the HPFM using compression couplings, and water was perfused at increasing pressures ranging from 0 to 500 kPa. Root volume was calculated after the measurements and the hydrostatic root hydraulic conductivity determined by dividing Kr by the root volume.

2.3. Root poly(A)+ RNA extraction and cDNA suppression-subtractive hybridisation

Total RNA was first isolated from roots by a phenol/chloroform extraction method followed by LiCl precipitation (Kay et al., 1987). After mRNA extraction we extracted the poly-A RNA using the Promega Protocol PolyATtract mRNA Isolation System (Promega, USA) following the manufactured instructions. We got a total of 6 different polyA mRNA extractions per olive genotype under drought conditions. cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg of poly-A RNA using oligo(dt)12–18 as a primer and M-MLV as reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen).

To identify sequences putatively regulated by drought stress in the two genotypes we constructed a subtractive cDNA library using a PCR-Select™ cDNA subtraction kit (Clontech, CA, USA), following the manufacturer protocols. The cDNA of the two olive genotypes under drought stress were either used for tester and later as driver in order to determine the characteristic differences of their behavior under drought stress. Subtracted cDNA products were ligated into the pGEM™ T-easy vector (Promega, WI, USA) and transformed into Escherichia coli DH5α cells. The presence and size of the inserts were determined by direct amplification from crude bacterial lysate using the nested PCR primers.
1 and 2R from the PCR-selected cDNA subtraction kit.

2.4. Sequences analyses

The positive clones were sequenced at the Sequencing Service of the Estación Experimental del Zaidín (CSIC, Granada), and all unique ESTs were annotated on the basis of the existing annotation of non-redundant databases at the NCBI using BLASTN. Sequences were further analyzed by using the SRS database from the OLEAGEN consortium (Muñoz-Mérida et al., 2013). Homologies with e-values lower than 1e−05 for more than 100 nucleotides were considered significant. Functional classification of the ESTs was performed according to the functional categories of Arabidopsis thaliana proteins (http://mips.helmholtz-muenchen.de/proj/functDB/) (Ruepp et al., 2004). Blast2GO was used to identify gene ontology (GO) terms associated with the identified genes (Conesa et al., 2005).

2.5. Real-time quantitative PCR

The expression pattern of several genes was confirmed using RT-qPCR using the RNA from the roots of both the olive trees locations. RNA was extracted as explained in Calvo-Polanco et al. (2016) using the phenol-chloroform protocol. For expression of the genes we used the primers described in Supplemental Table 1, and was determined using a RT-qPCR (iCycler-Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Each 23 μl reaction mixture contained 1 μl of cDNA (80 ng), 10.5 μl of Master Mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories S.A.), 8.6 μl of deionized water and 0.45 μl of each primer pair at a final concentration of 0.2 mM. The PCR program consisted of 3 min incubation at 95 °C, followed by 32 cycles of: 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s of annealing temperature of 58 °C and 72 °C for 30 s. We tested several housekeeping genes (actin, tubulin and the elongation factor) and the elongation factor was chosen with the normfinder algorithm (Andersen et al., 2004) (https://moma.dk/normfinder-software) as the most stable one in all the treatments. Three different root RNA samples for each treatment were used for the analysis (n = 3), with each of them repeated three times. Negative controls without cDNA were used in all the PCR reactions.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Physiological data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Proc MIXED procedure in SAS (version 9.2, SAS institute Inc., NC, USA) together with the post hoc Tukey’s test to detect significant differences among treatment means.

3. Results

3.1. Olive trees growth and physiological parameters

Plant development followed different strategies according to the origin of the trees. Under well-watered conditions, Freila trees had higher leaf number than Grazalema trees, while they have similar values for the other physiological parameters studied, (Fig. 1b, Fig. 2, Table 1). Once drought was applied, Freila trees significantly reduced their relative leaf number and shoot heights (Fig. 1a; Table 1), however, they increased their root dry weights when compared with well-watered conditions (Fig. 1b). In the case of Grazalema trees, the drought treatment did not have an effect on root dry weight (Fig. 1b), relative leaf number (Fig. 1a), leaf dry weight and shoot to root ration (Table 1), but induced a reduction in leaf chlorophyll content and relative stem diameter (Table 1).

Both Freila and Grazalema trees reduced their gs under drought (Fig. 2a), although Grazalema trees increased their root hydraulic conductivity under drought when compared with well-watered conditions (Fig. 2b).

Fig. 1. Relative leaf number (%) (a) and root dry weight (g) (b) in Olea europaea trees from different locations, Freila with low annual precipitation, and Grazalema with high annual precipitation. The trees were grown either under well watered conditions or under drought stress for five weeks. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences among treatment means after Tukey’s test at p = 0.05.

3.2. Differential regulation of transcripts between both provenances under drought stress

Two cDNA libraries enriched in genes that are induced under drought stress were constructed in olive trees from both provenances, Freila and Grazalema. The aim of the study was to identify genes responsible for the differential root development and hydraulic conductivity phenotypes that we could find under drought stress. Olive trees from the dryer area in Freila showed seven differentially-expressed genes that could be classify into four functional groups (Table 2): 1) Posttranslational proteins: with two transcription factors (PosF21, and RAP2-12-like) and one prenyl protease (CaaX-Abi family); 2) One transport related protein belonging to the plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (MIP); 3) Two biosynthesis proteins and 4) One senescence proteins.

Roots from Grazalema trees were characterized by seven differentially-expressing genes that were classified into seven functional groups: transduction, biosynthesis, posttranslational regulation, oxidation_reduction processes, energy, and mRNA processing, and one protein that was not characterized (Table 2). In order to test the efficacy of the test, we run RT-qPCR with some of the characterized genes in roots of Freila and Grazalema trees under drought (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

Our study has shown different physiological responses to drought of the same genotype of olive trees from different locations, reflecting the plasticity of this species to adapt to the environment, and the critical influence of tree origin. Similar results were found previously in populous trees because epigenomic processes (Raj et al., 2011). In our study, drought induced an increase on root DW and a reduction on
relative leaf number on Freila trees (dry area) that did not affect root hydraulic conductivity. On the other hand, in Grazalema trees (wet area), drought did not affect root dry weight and leaf relative number, but affected root hydraulic conductivity by increasing it. Trees growing under drought usually do not have a balanced allocation of the dry matter among the different plant organs (Hartmann et al., 2015), and

Table 2
Functional classification of the selected candidate genes induced during drought treatment in roots of olive trees from Freila and Grazalema.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil location</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Functional Group</th>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Sequence bp</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freila</td>
<td>AHP1</td>
<td>Transduction</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>Histidine-containing phosphotransfer protein AHP1-like (LOC111371478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADI1</td>
<td>Biosynthesis</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>1,2-dihydroxy-3-keto-5-methylthiopentene dioxygenase 2 (LOC111393695)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2 38</td>
<td>Posttranslational regulation</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>Putative ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 38 (LOC111382707)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NADH Oxidation-Reduction</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>OLroot.27_2K06.F.ab1</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 11 kDa subunit (Gossypium arboreum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RBP45</td>
<td>mRNA processing</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>Polyadenylate-binding protein RBP45-like (Sesamum indicum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATP5B</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit Beta (Medicago truncatula)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C16E8.02</td>
<td>Not characterized</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>Uncharacterized endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein C16E8.02-like (Nicotiana tomentosiformis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazalema</td>
<td>AHP1</td>
<td>Transduction</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>Histidine-containing phosphotransfer protein AHP1-like (LOC111371478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADI1</td>
<td>Biosynthesis</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>1,2-dihydroxy-3-keto-5-methylthiopentene dioxygenase 2 (LOC111393695)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2 38</td>
<td>Posttranslational regulation</td>
<td>Genbank</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>Putative ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 38 (LOC111382707)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NADH Oxidation-Reduction</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>OLroot.27_2K06.F.ab1</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 11 kDa subunit (Gossypium arboreum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RBP45</td>
<td>mRNA processing</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>Polyadenylate-binding protein RBP45-like (Sesamum indicum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATP5B</td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit Beta (Medicago truncatula)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C16E8.02</td>
<td>Not characterized</td>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>Uncharacterized endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein C16E8.02-like (Nicotiana tomentosiformis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

relative leaf number on Freila trees (dry area) that did not affect root hydraulic conductivity. On the other hand, in Grazalema trees (wet area), drought did not affect root dry weight and leaf relative number, but affected root hydraulic conductivity by increasing it. Trees growing under drought usually do not have a balanced allocation of the dry matter among the different plant organs (Hartmann et al., 2015), and

Fig. 2. Stomatal conductance ($g_s$) (a) and root hydraulic conductivity ($L_p$) (b) in Olea europaea trees from different locations, Freila with low annual precipitation, and Grazalema with high annual precipitation. The trees were grown either under well-watered conditions or under drought stress for five weeks. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences among treatment means after Tukey's test at $p = 0.05$. 

Table 1
Leaf relative water content (RWC, %), leaf chlorophyll (mg g$^{-1}$FW), relative height increments (%), relative stem diameters (%), leaf dry weights (g) and shoot to root ratio in olive trees from two different locations, Freila and Grazalema. The trees were grown under well-watered conditions and under drought conditions for five weeks. Different letters indicate significant differences among treatment means after Tukey's test at $p = 0.05$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Freila</th>
<th>Grazalema</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf RWC</td>
<td>Well-watered</td>
<td>91.1 ± 2.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>86.6 ± 1.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf chlorophyll</td>
<td>Well-watered</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative shoot height increments</td>
<td>Well-watered</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.4 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative stem diameter increments</td>
<td>Well-watered</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.6 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf dry weights</td>
<td>Well-watered</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot: root ratio</td>
<td>Well-watered</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drought</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
specifically in olive trees growing in semi-arid conditions, it has been related with a reduction of the vegetative growth in favor of the root system development (Palese et al., 2010). This general trend can be easily assumed in the Freila trees, however the trees from Grazalema seems to be more balanced in the allocation of the resources as can be seen in their shoot to root ratio. It is plausible that the roots of Grazalema trees have different conformation, extension and density compared to the ones of Freila (Masmoudi-Charif et al., 2011) that did not affect their dry matter, and this allowed Grazalema trees to be more effective accessing the water resources of the soil and to sustain a higher number of leaves at the shoot level.

The differences in root hydraulic conductivity did not represent differences in gs under drought for both genotypes. Olive trees are well-recognized for their ability to control gs at different water regimes (Torres-Ruiz et al., 2013), while maintaining a suitable hydraulic efficiency to yield water towards the photosynthetic apparatus (Raimondo et al., 2009). Furthermore, the suppression-subtractive hybridization (SSH) study pointed to aquaporins (MIP) to have a role in the water uptake in the roots of Freila trees. In olive trees, there are twelve aquaporins (Secchi et al., 2007; Lovisolo et al., 2007; Calvo-Polanco et al., 2016), with some of them identified to have water transport functionality (Secchi et al., 2007; Lovisolo et al., 2007). Although aquaporins are known to have different functions and to be regulated differently as to the intensity and duration of the drought (Secchi et al., 2007; Calvo-Polanco et al., 2016), the distinctive presence of this predicted MIP in the roots of Freila trees will indicate, in principle, a higher dependency of Freila trees on the symplastic pathway and in particular of aquaporins activity for root water transport. However, we also need to take into account that, under long-term drought stress conditions, posttranslational regulation of aquaporins such as phosphorylation will be one of the main regulation processes taking place to determine the activity of aquaporins related with water transport (Calvo-Polanco et al., 2016). Thus, even though we do not have a distinctive aquaporin gene in Grazalema trees, they could have other mechanisms allowing the proper functioning of the system in order to increase their root hydraulic conductivity. On the other hand, Tataranni et al. (2015) showed the close correlation among root hydraulic conductivity and the amount of suberin and root cell density in olive trees, giving a main role to the apoplastic path. Lo Gullo et al. (1998) found a differentiation at the endodermis level of the suberized tissue in response to water stress, what will alter how the water is transported to the main cylinder. Taking into account the deep transformation that take place in roots under drought, we expect a close relation among plant suberin development and aquaporins activity (Wang et al., 2019), and this could be a key element in the adaptation of plants to stress.

In order to get a deeper insight into the different genes regulating root growth and development under drought conditions, we further studied the responses of Freila and Grazalema roots to drought using a SSH test. Among the genes differentially expressed, it is remarkable the role of transcription factors, especially in Freila trees (Table 2), and how these TFs may be related with different hormonal signaling. TFs represent one of the most extended functional proteins classes in

Fig. 3. Relative gene expression in roots of olive trees from different locations, Freila with low annual pluviometry, and Grazalema, with high annual pluviometry. The data were analyzed using t-test. Asterisks over the bars indicate significant differences at α = 0.05.
eukaryotes, with roles in plant development (van Leene et al., 2016). We identified two potential TFs differentially expressed in the roots of Freila trees (bZIP59/PostF21 and RAP2.12) and one in Grazelemara roots (AHPs). The TFs found in Freila roots are related to the pathway signaling of auxin, ethylene and ABA. Hence, the bZIP59/PostF21 found in Freila roots, from the basic region leucine zipper (bZIP) group I belongs to the family bZIP. These TFs are known for their role in plant development (van Leene et al., 2016). In particular, RAP2.12 and RAP2.12 are known for their role in plant development (van Leene et al., 2016). Further, the related to apetala 2.2 (RAP2.12) gene is an ethylene resin transcription factor VII (ERF-VII subfamily). It belongs to a group of TFs that participate in several hormone-signaling pathways such as the ethylene, jasmonic acid and salicylic acid pathways (Mantri et al., 2008) and that have been shown to improve the tolerance of plants against drought (Li et al., 2017). In particular, RAP2.12 can be also involved in plant growth and development (Paul et al., 2016), and in the responses to oxidative and osmotic stresses (Papad et al., 2015).

The system seems to be working differently in Grazelemara roots under drought, as the expressed related genes found in this genotype have general roles of plant transduction, biosynthesis and energy and mRNA processes. Among them, there is one related with gene transduction, a histidine-containing phosphotransfer protein 1-like (AHPh). AHPs belong to the htp superfamily and take part in hormone signal transduction as mediator between cytokinin sensor histidine kinases and response regulators (Ruszkowski et al., 2014). They are known to be strongly expressed in roots and to be induced by salt, cold and drought stress (Miya et al., 1998).

In conclusion, we have found two different mechanisms in response to drought stress that induce a cascade of interconnected network in order to adapt physiologically and morphologically the development of the same olive cultivar from two different provenances. The results of this research are of high interest as there is no information available of such ecologically and commercially species in the Mediterranean area. Genetic manipulation of these multiple stress-responsive genes stands to be a powerful approach for improving plant tolerance, especially the ones that control the perception and transduction of stress signals.
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Species or genus names from the column under ‘ID’ were assigned to sequence homology with sequences from Genbank or SRS as indicated in the column ‘Database’. The number of base pairs representing each gene described in the column ‘Sequence bp’ and the assigned accession number of sequences submitted to each database is listed under ‘Sequence #’. The identified name in the different databases is listed under ‘Annotation’.
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